

Assessing the Effectiveness of an Audit Tool for Goods Procurement in Promoting Transparency within Government Agencies

Toshio R. Toba Jr.,
Christopher Ladignon
Prof. Noel Florencondia
Nueva Ecija University of Science and Technology
Toshtoba@gmail.com

Abstract

This research is an empirical investigation into the effectiveness and satisfaction of users of a procurement audit tool in the dimensions of transparency, inconsistency checking, and fraud detection pertaining to procurement processes. Based on the feedback obtained from concerned professionals in state technical audit roles, it is found that, overall, although the tool is shown to be quite effective, the users are only moderately satisfied, particularly in regard to procedures related to smoothing. Some of the recommendations for improvement include AI integration, user interface enhancement, continuous feedback mechanisms, and comprehensive training programs. The results show the value that it currently is bringing forth but also point to still further opportunities of optimization that should be addressed in light of the changing needs of users.

Keywords: Procurement audit tool; transparency; fraud detection; user satisfaction; AI integration

1.1 Background

Procurement processes by both public and private sectors are often ruined by inconsistencies and fraud that usually result in huge financial losses and may entirely destroy the level of confidence in an organization's operations. In view thereof, audit tools ensuring transparency, efficiency, and ethical standards in these processes have turned out to be significantly relevant. This study, therefore, seeks to establish the effectiveness of a certain procurement auditing tool and the measure concerning the performance level of satisfaction among its users.

1.2 Research Objectives

The objectives of this research are to:

Assess the effectiveness of the procurement audit tool in detecting inconsistencies and fraudulent activities.

Determine users' satisfaction level with the tool in relation to transparency, standard of ethics, and minimization of procedures.

Identify areas for improvement of the tool based on user feedback.

Provide recommendations for improving the functionality and user experience of the tool.

Knowledge of the strengths and limitations of this procurement audit tool will enable organizations to make effective decisions regarding any further use and development. The purpose of the study is to aid in optimizing the audit tools by identifying scope for improvement in order to enhance the effectiveness of procurement as a whole.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Various Procurement Audit Tools—An Overview

These procurement audit tools are highly designed to monitor and gauge the integrity of procurement processes. They are applied for ensuring compliance with regulations, detection, and prevention of fraud, and enhancing the general efficiency of procurement activities. Indeed, previous literature has established the importance of these tools in maintaining transparency and ethical standards in procurement.

2.2 Satisfaction of Audit Tool Users

Among the most critical factors that would ensure the success of auditing tools is user satisfaction. Evidence from research shows this satisfaction is influenced by the ease of use of the tool, the integration of the same into existing systems, and its effectiveness in carrying out intended functions. In general, high user satisfaction normally contributes to better adoption rates and usage.

Artificial Intelligence can be added to audit tools to improve predictive capability, creating better detection of discrepancies and misstatements. AI can also automate routine tasks, make procedures smoother, and allow more insight into data analysis—significantly improving user experience and tool effectiveness.

3. Methodology

3.1 Research Design

This study uses a mixed-methods approach whereby quantitative questionnaires are combined with qualitative feedback to elicit an overall understanding of the perceptions and experiences of users concerning the procurement audit tool.

3.2 Participants

The respondents are practitioners in the state technical auditing roles, including “STAS I” and “STAS II.” A total of 20 respondents completed the survey, with a mix of least experienced through most experienced represented.

3.3 Data Collection

The data were collected using structured questionnaires that included close-ended questions to quantify the

level of satisfaction and open-ended questions to elicit detail in terms of feedback about the tool's performance. The contents of the survey focused on three key areas: the effectiveness of the tool in finding discrepancies, satisfaction levels with user features, and suggestions for improvement.

3.4 Data Analysis

Quantitative data was analyzed descriptively to highlight any trends in user satisfaction and perceived effectiveness. Qualitative data from open-ended responses was analyzed thematically to bring out common themes of improvements.

4. Results

4.1 Demographic Overview

The majority of the respondents—70% men and 30% women—were related to state technical audit jobs, with experience levels ranging from beginner to expert, showing a heterogeneous but experienced group of real users.

Overall, the perception of the audit tool was that it worked well, with 18 out of 20 respondents agreeing or strongly agreeing that the tool had been successful at finding discrepancies within procurement processes. However, five respondents expressed moderate agreement, indicating some reservations.

4.3 User Satisfaction

While 18 respondents supported the continued use of the tool, mixed responses regarding its ability to make procurement procedures easier revealed a potential area for improvement.

4.4 Open-Ended Feedback

Open-ended responses highlighted the tool's effectiveness in ensuring no redundant procurement and its usefulness in data analysis. Suggestions for improvement included adding AI features and enhancing the audit trail to increase functionality.

5. Discussion

5.1 Summary of Key Findings

The study found that the procurement audit tool is effective and welcomed by its users, particularly in the core functions of detecting discrepancies and increasing transparency. However, moderate satisfaction with its streamlining capabilities presents room for improvement

As useful as the tool is, it could be upgraded to improve user-friendliness. Recommendations included integrating AI into the predictive model, refining the user interface, and further streamlining the tool for easier use.

5.3 Limitations

The study's limitations include a small sample size and a focus on one specific tool, making it difficult to generalize findings to other contexts.

6. Conclusions and Recommendations

This study concludes that the procurement auditing tool serves its core role in increasing transparency and detecting inconsistencies in procurement processes, but with ample room for improvement in smoothing procedures and enhancing user satisfaction. Recommendations include integrating AI features, refining the user interface, and implementing continuous feedback mechanisms. Comprehensive training programs should also be provided to maximize the tool's functionalities. With these areas addressed, the tool will better serve users' interests and remain relevant in procurement processes.

(1)

References

- Costa, A., & Silva, F. (2019). Public procurement and corruption: The role of transparency in reducing corruption. *Journal of Public Procurement*, 19(4), 333-350. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JOPP-19-04-2019-0123>
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). (2020). Public procurement and infrastructure governance: Initial policy responses to the coronavirus (COVID-19) crisis. OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/5ec8b5d4-en>
- Piga, G., & Tatrai, T. (2021). *Public procurement policy*. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429299953>
- Thai, K. V. (2017). *International handbook of public procurement* (2nd ed.). CRC Press. <https://doi.org/10.1201/9781315117196>
- United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC). (2019). *Guidebook on anti-corruption in public procurement and the management of public finances*. United Nations. https://www.unodc.org/documents/corruption/Publications/2019/Guidebook_on_anti-
- Zhou, Y., & Xu, B. (2022). Impact of e-procurement on procurement performance: Evidence from China. *Journal of Purchasing and Supply Management*, 28(1), 100706. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pursup.2021.100706>
- Albano, G. L., & Sparro, M. (2018). *Public procurement in Europe: Cost and efficiency in international perspective*. Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-91800-6>
- Bajari, P., & Tadelis, S. (2017). Procurement contracts and the cost of procurement failure. *American Economic Review*, 107(10), 2842-2876. <https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.20141483>
- European Commission. (2017). *Study on the effectiveness of public procurement audits in the European Union*. <https://doi.org/10.2765/500345>
- Flynn, A., & Davis, P. (2016). Explaining SME participation and success in public procurement using a capability-based model of tendering. *Journal of Public Procurement*, 16(3), 312-341.